Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/663,968	YIP, PING	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK	1631	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK</u> .	(3)		
(2) Ms Sheryl Silverstein.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>5 November 2010</u> Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Silverstein was contacted by telephone on 11/04/2010 with a proposed examiners amendment. Ms. Silverstein indicated she would present the applicant the proposed amendment. On 05 November 2010, Ms. Silverstein indicated that the applicant had approved the amendment.			
Part III.			
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.			
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature — if appr	grapriste)
(A	ррисань Аррисані в кергезептат	ive эідпашіс — іг арр	портаке)